arXiv:math-ph/0511065v1 21 Nov 2005

A CONSTRUCTION OF A NONPARAMETRIC QUANTUM INFORMATION MANIFOLD.

ANNA JENČOVÁ

Mathematical Institute, Slovak Academy of Sciences,
Štefánikova 49, 814 73 Bratislava, Slovakia,
e-mail:jenca@mat.savba.sk

Abstract. We present a construction of a Banach manifold on the set of faithful normal states of a von Neumann algebra, where the underlying Banach space is a quantum analogue of an Orlicz space. On the manifold, we introduce the exponential and mixture connections as dual pair of affine connections.

1. Introduction

An information manifold is a family of states of some classical or quantum system, endowed with a differentiable manifold structure. For finitely parametrized families of probability distributions, the geometry of such manifolds and its applications in parameter estimation is already well understood, see for example the books [1, 2].

The non-parametric version was introduced by Pistone and Sempi [9, 4], based on the theory of Orlicz spaces. For the quantum version, some proposals for infinite dimensional manifold structure can be found in [6, 10, 11].

The aim of this paper is to introduce a differentiable manifold structure on the set of faithful states of a quantum system, represented by a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$. Moreover, we want this manifold to be a quantum counterpart of the Pistone and Sempi construction.

We use an approach similar to Grasselli [5] in the commutative case: we define an Orlicz norm on the space of self-adjoint operators in $\mathcal{M}$ and take the completion under this norm to be the underlying Banach space for the manifold. The norm is defined by a quantum Young function, as in [12]. The definition of a Young function on a Banach space, together with some results on the associated norms, can be found in Section 3. For a faithful state φ, the quantum Orlicz space B_φ and its centered version $B_{\varphi,0}$ are introduced in Section 4. The definition of the related Young function is based on the relative entropy approach to state perturbation. We treat the dual spaces in Section 6. The main result is contained in Section 8, where the manifold structure is introduced and, moreover, the exponential and mixture connections are defined as a pair of dual affine connections on each connected component of the manifold.

2. Preliminaries.

We recall some properties of relative entropy and perturbed states, that will be needed later. See [8] for details.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra in standard form. For ω and φ in $\mathcal{M}_*^+$, the relative entropy is defined as

$$S(\omega,\varphi)=\begin{cases} -\langle \log(\Delta_{\varphi,\xi_\omega})\xi_\omega,\xi_\omega\rangle & \text{if } \operatorname{supp}\omega\le\operatorname{supp}\varphi \\ \infty & \text{otherwise}\end{cases}$$

where ξ_ω is the representing vector of ω in a natural positive cone and $\Delta_{\varphi,\xi_\omega}$ is the relative modular operator. Then S is jointly convex and weakly lower semicontinuous. Let us denote $\mathcal{P}_\varphi := \{\omega\in\mathcal{M}_*^+, S(\omega,\varphi)<\infty\}$, then $\mathcal{P}_\varphi$ is a convex cone. We will need the following Donald's identity

$$S(\psi,\varphi)+\sum_i S(\psi_i,\psi)=\sum_i S(\psi_i,\varphi) \tag{1}$$

where $\psi_i\in\mathcal{M}_*^+$, $i=1,\dots,n$, and $\psi=\sum_i\psi_i$. Since $S(\psi_i,\psi)$ is always finite, it follows from this identity that $\sum_i\psi_i\in\mathcal{P}_\varphi$ if and only if $\psi_i\in\mathcal{P}_\varphi$ for all i.

Let $\mathfrak{S}_*$ be the set of normal states on $\mathcal{M}$ and let $\mathcal{S}_\varphi := \{\omega\in\mathfrak{S}_*,\ S(\omega,\varphi)<\infty\}$. Then $\mathcal{S}_\varphi$ is a convex set and generates $\mathcal{P}_\varphi$. From (1), we get

$$S(\psi_\lambda,\varphi)+\lambda S(\psi_1,\psi_\lambda)+(1-\lambda)S(\psi_2,\psi_\lambda)=\lambda S(\psi_1,\varphi)+(1-\lambda)S(\psi_2,\varphi) \tag{2}$$

where ψ_1, ψ_2 are normal states and $\psi_\lambda=\lambda\psi_1+(1-\lambda)\psi_2$, $0\le\lambda\le1$. As above, it follows that $\psi_\lambda\in\mathcal{S}_\varphi$ if and only if both $\psi_1,\psi_2\in\mathcal{S}_\varphi$, in other words, $\mathcal{S}_\varphi$ is a face in $\mathfrak{S}_*$. For $C>0$, we define the set $\mathcal{S}_C := \{\omega,\ S(\omega,\varphi)\le C\}$. Then $\mathcal{S}_C$ is convex and compact in the $\sigma(\mathcal{M}_*,\mathcal{M})$ topology.

Let us suppose that φ is a faithful normal state on $\mathcal{M}$ and let h be a self-adjoint element in $\mathcal{M}$. The perturbed state $[\varphi^h]$ is defined as the unique maximizer of

$$\sup_{\omega\in\mathfrak{S}_*}\{\omega(h)-S(\omega,\varphi)\} \tag{3}$$

Then $[\varphi^h]$ is a faithful normal state and $S([\varphi^h],\varphi)$ is finite. Let $c_\varphi(h)$ be the supremum in (3), that is

$$c_\varphi(h)=[\varphi^h](h)-S([\varphi^h],\varphi) \tag{4}$$

It is known that

$$\varphi(h)\le c_\varphi(h)\le\log\varphi(e^h) \tag{5}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\omega(h)-S(\omega,\varphi)=c_\varphi(h)-S(\omega,[\varphi^h]) \tag{6}$$

for any self-adjoint $h\in\mathcal{M}$ and $\omega\in\mathfrak{S}_*$. Let h,k be self-adjoint elements in $\mathcal{M}$, then the chain rule $[\varphi^{h+k}]=[[\varphi^h]^k]$ and

$$c_\varphi(h+k)=c_{[\varphi^h]}(k)+c_\varphi(h) \tag{7}$$

holds. Let now ξ_φ be the vector representative of φ and let $\varphi^h\in\mathcal{M}_*^+$ be the functional induced by the perturbed vector

$$\xi_\varphi^h := e^{\frac12(\log\Delta_\varphi+h)}\xi_\varphi = e^{c_\varphi(h)}\Delta^{1/2}_{[\varphi^h],\varphi}\xi_\varphi$$

Then $c_\varphi(h)=\log\varphi^h(1)$ and $[\varphi^h]=\varphi^h/\varphi^h(1)$. Moreover, if $\varphi^h=\varphi^k$, then $h=k$.

3. Young functions on Banach spaces and the associated norms.

Let V be a real Banach space and let V^* be its dual, with the duality pairing $\langle v, x\rangle = v(x)$. Recall that any convex lower semicontinuous function $V \to \mathbb{R}\cup\{+\infty\}$ is lower semicontinuous with respect to the $\sigma(V, V^*)$-topology.

The Young function. We will say that a function $\Phi : V \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ is a Young function, if it satisfies:

- (i) Φ is convex and lower semicontinuous,
- (ii) $\Phi(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in V$ and $\Phi(0) = 0$
- (iii) $\Phi(x) = \Phi(-x)$ for all $x \in V$
- (iv) if $x \neq 0$, then $\lim_{t\to\infty} \Phi(tx) = \infty$

Lemma 3.1. *Let Φ be a Young function. Let us define the sets*

$$\begin{aligned} C_\Phi &:= \{x \in V, \Phi(x) \leq 1\} \\ L_\Phi &:= \{x \in V, \exists s > 0, \text{ such that } \Phi(sx) < \infty\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then C_Φ is absolutely convex and $L_\Phi = \cup_n nC_\Phi$. In particular, L_Φ is a (real) vector space.

Proof. Let $x, y \in C_\Phi$ and let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, such that $|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq 1$. Put $\gamma = 1 - |\alpha| - |\beta|$, then

$$\Phi(\alpha x + \beta y) = \Phi(|\alpha|\mathrm{sgn}(\alpha)x + |\beta|\mathrm{sgn}(\beta)y + \gamma 0) \leq |\alpha|\Phi(x) + |\beta|\Phi(y) \leq 1$$

hence $\alpha x + \beta y \in C_\Phi$ and C_Φ is absolutely convex.

Let now $x \in L_\Phi$ and let $s > 0$ be such that $\Phi(sx) = K < \infty$. Choose $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m \geq \max\{1/s, K/s\}$, then by convexity

$$\Phi(\frac{1}{m}x) = \Phi(\frac{1}{ms}sx) \leq \frac{1}{ms}\Phi(sx) = \frac{K}{ms} \leq 1$$

and $x \in mC_\Phi$. Since obviously $nC_\Phi \subset L_\Phi$ for all n, we have $L_\Phi = \cup_n nC_\Phi$, which clearly implies that L_Φ is a vector space. □

Let us recall that the effective domain

$$\mathrm{dom}(\Phi) = \{x \in V,\ \Phi(x) < \infty\}$$

is a convex set. Any convex lower semicontinuous function is continuous in the interior of its effective domain, [3]. Clearly, L_Φ is the smallest vector space containing $\mathrm{dom}(\Phi)$.

In the space L_Φ, we now introduce the Minkowski functional of C_Φ,

$$\|x\|_\Phi := \inf\{\rho > 0, x \in \rho C_\Phi\}.$$

Since C_Φ is absolutely convex and absorbing, $\|\cdot\|_\Phi$ is a seminorm. Moreover, $\|x\|_\Phi = 0$ means that $\Phi(tx) \leq 1$ for all $t > 0$. By the property (iv), this implies that $x = 0$. It follows that $\|\cdot\|_\Phi$ defines a norm in L_Φ. Let us denote by B_Φ the completion of L_Φ under this norm.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $x \in L_\Phi$. Then $\|x\|_\Phi \leq 1$ if and only if $\Phi(x) \leq 1$.*

Proof. If $\|x\|_\Phi < 1$, then $x \in C_\Phi$ and $\Phi(x) \le 1$. Let now $\|x\|_\Phi = 1$ and let $t_n < 1$ be a sequence converging to 1. Then $\Phi(t_n x) \le 1$ for all n and, by lower semicontinuity, $\Phi(x) \le \liminf_n \Phi(t_n x) \le 1$. Hence $\|x\|_\Phi \le 1$ implies $\Phi(x) \le 1$. On the other hand, if $\Phi(x) \le 1$, then $x \in C_\Phi$ and clearly $\|x\|_\Phi \le 1$. $\square$

Lemma 3.3. *Let $x \in L_\Phi$. Then $\|x\|_\Phi \le 1$ implies $\Phi(x) \le \|x\|_\Phi$ and $\|x\|_\Phi > 1$ implies $\Phi(x) \ge \|x\|_\Phi$. Moreover, if Φ is finite valued, then $\|x\|_\Phi = 1$ if and only if $\Phi(x) = 1$.*

Proof. Let $\|x\|_\Phi \le 1$. By convexity of Φ and Lemma 3.2,

$$\Phi(x) = \Phi(\|x\|_\Phi \frac{x}{\|x\|_\Phi}) \le \|x\|_\Phi \Phi(\frac{x}{\|x\|_\Phi}) \le \|x\|_\Phi$$

Let now $\|x\|_\Phi > 1$, then $\Phi(x) > 1$. If $\Phi(x) = \infty$, then the assertion is obviously true. Let us suppose that $\Phi(x)$ is finite. The function $t \mapsto \Phi(tx)$ is convex and bounded on $< 0, 1 >$, hence continuous on $(0, 1)$. It follows that $\Phi(tx) = 1$ for some t in this interval and clearly $t = \frac{1}{\|x\|_\Phi}$. We have

$$1 = \Phi(tx) \le t\Phi(x)$$

and hence $\|x\|_\Phi \le \Phi(x)$. This also proves that last statement. $\square$

The conjugate function. Let V^* be the dual space. Let the function $\Phi^* : V^* \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ be the conjugate of Φ,

$$\Phi^*(v) = \sup_{x \in V} \{v(x) - \Phi(x)\} = \sup_{x \in \mathrm{Dom}(\Phi)} \{v(x) - \Phi(x)\}$$

The function Φ^* is convex, lower semicontinuous and positive, $\Phi^*(v) = \Phi^*(-v)$ and $\Phi^*(0) = 0$. But, in general, Φ^* is not a Young function: consider the case when $\Phi(0) = 0$ and $\Phi(x) = \infty$ for all $x \neq 0$, then Φ is a Young function, but its conjugate is identically equal to 0 on V^* and the condition (iv) is not satisfied.

Let $(\mathrm{dom}(\Phi))^\perp$ be the orthogonal subspace to $\mathrm{dom}(\Phi)$ in V^*, that is

$$(\mathrm{dom}(\Phi))^\perp := \{v \in V^*,\ v(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in \mathrm{dom}(\Phi)\}$$

Then $(\mathrm{dom}(\Phi))^\perp$ is a closed subspace in V^*. Let $\tilde{V}$ be the quotient space $\tilde{V} = V^*/_{(\mathrm{dom}(\Phi))^\perp}$. If u and v are elements in the same equivalence class, then

$$\Phi^*(v) = \sup_{x \in \mathrm{dom}(\Phi)} \{v(x) - \Phi(x)\} = \sup_{x \in \mathrm{dom}(\Phi)} \{u(x) - \Phi(x)\} = \Phi^*(u)$$

and Φ^* is well defined as a function on $\tilde{V}$.

Lemma 3.4. *$\Phi^* : \tilde{V} \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ is a Young function.*

Proof. It is easy to see that Φ^* satisfies (i), (ii) and (iii) from the definition of a Young function. Moreover, it follows from the definition of the conjugate function that

$$|v(x)| \le \Phi(x) + \Phi^*(v), \quad \text{for all } x \in V, v \in \tilde{V} \tag{8}$$

Let $v \in \tilde{V}$, $v \neq 0$. Then there is an element $x \in \mathrm{dom}(\Phi)$ such that $v(x) \neq 0$. It follows that $\Phi^*(tv) \ge |tv(x)| - \Phi(x)$ for all t and (iv) is satisfied. $\square$

We will define C_{Φ^*}, L_{Φ^*}, $\|\cdot\|_{\Phi^*}$ and B_{Φ^*} in the same way as for Φ.

Lemma 3.5. *(Hölder inequality).*

$$|v(x)| \leq 2\|x\|_\Phi \|v\|_{\Phi^*} \qquad \textit{for all } \ x \in B_\Phi, v \in B_{\Phi^*}$$

Proof. Let $x \in C_\Phi$, $v \in C_{\Phi^*}$, then by (8)

$$|v(x)| \leq \Phi(x) + \Phi^*(v) \leq 2$$

Let $x \in L_\Phi$, $v \in L_{\Phi^*}$. By Lemma 3.2, $\frac{x}{\|x\|_\Phi} \in C_\Phi$, $\frac{v}{\|v\|_{\Phi^*}} \in C_{\Phi^*}$ and therefore $|v(x)| \leq 2\|x\|_\Phi \|v\|_{\Phi^*}$. Clearly, the inequality extends to $x \in B_\Phi$, $v \in B_{\Phi^*}$. $\square$

The second conjugate. If E is a Banach space and $H \subset E$ is a closed subspace, then the dual of the quotient space (E/H) can be identified with $H^\perp$. It follows that $\tilde{V}^* \cap V = (\mathrm{dom}(\Phi))^{\perp\perp}$, which is nothing else than the closure of L_Φ in V. Let us denote this space by $\bar{V}$.

As before, we can find the conjugate function to $\Phi^* : \tilde{V} \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ with respect to the pair $(\tilde{V}, \tilde{V}^*)$. Note that for x in $\bar{V}$, we have

$$\sup_{v \in \tilde{V}} \{v(x) - \Phi^*(v)\} = \sup_{v \in V^*} \{v(x) - \Phi^*(v)\} = \Phi^{**}(x)$$

where Φ^{**} is the second conjugate to $\Phi : V \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$. Since Φ is convex and lower semicontinuous, $\Phi^{**}(x) = \Phi(x)$ on V, [3]. It follows in particular that the restriction of Φ^{**} to $\bar{V}$ is a Young function.

It is clear from Hölder inequality that any $x \in L_\Phi$ defines a bounded linear functional on B_{Φ^*}. Let $\|x\|^*_{\Phi^*}$ be its norm in $B^*_{\Phi^*}$, then by Lemma 3.2,

$$\|x\|^*_{\Phi^*} = \sup\{|v(x)|, \Phi^*(v) \leq 1\}.$$

Similarly, if $v \in L_{\Phi^*}$, then $v \in B^*_\Phi$ and we have

$$\|v\|^*_\Phi = \sup\{|v(x)|, \Phi(x) \leq 1\}$$

Lemma 3.6. *For $x \in L_\Phi$, we have $\|x\|_\Phi \leq \|x\|^*_{\Phi^*} \leq 2\|x\|_\Phi$. Similarly, if $v \in L_{\Phi^*}$, then $\|v\|_{\Phi^*} \leq \|v\|^*_\Phi \leq 2\|v\|_{\Phi^*}$.*

Proof. Let $v \in L_{\Phi^*}$. By Hölder inequality, $\|v\|^*_\Phi \leq 2\|v\|_{\Phi^*}$. Let now $\|v\|^*_\Phi = 1$, then for $x \in C_\Phi$ we have

$$v(x) - \Phi(x) \leq 1.$$

On the other hand, for $x \in \mathrm{dom}(\Phi)$, such that $\Phi(x) > 1$, we get from Lemma 3.3

$$v(x) - \Phi(x) \leq v(x) - \|x\|_\Phi \leq 0.$$

It follows that $\Phi^*(v) \leq 1$ and $v \in C_{\Phi^*}$, hence $\|v\|_{\Phi^*} \leq 1$. Therefore, $\|v\|_{\Phi^*} \leq \|v\|^*_\Phi$ for all $v \in L_{\Phi^*}$. The proof for $x \in L_\Phi$ is the same, using the fact that Φ is the conjugate of Φ^*. $\square$

Proposition 3.1. *$B_{\Phi^*} \subseteq B^*_\Phi$ and $L_{\Phi^*} = \tilde{V} \cap B^*_\Phi$. Similarly, $B_\Phi \subseteq B^*_{\Phi^*}$ and $L_\Phi = \bar{V} \cap B^*_{\Phi^*}$.*

Proof. As we have seen, L_{Φ^*} is a vector subspace in B^*_Φ and the norms in L_{Φ^*} and B^*_Φ are equivalent, hence $B_{\Phi^*} \subseteq B^*_\Phi$. Let now $v \in \tilde{V} \cap B^*_\Phi$ be such that $\|v\|^*_\Phi = 1$. Then $\Phi^*(v) \leq 1$, exactly as in the proof of Lemma 3.6. It follows that for all $v \in \tilde{V} \cap B^*_\Phi$, $\Phi^*(v/\|v\|^*_\Phi) \leq 1 < \infty$ and $v \in L_{\Phi^*}$. Again, the proof for L_Φ and B_Φ is the same. $\square$

Let Φ be a Young function such that 0 is an interior point in $\mathrm{dom}(\Phi)$. Then the function Φ is continuous in 0, therefore there is an open set U containing 0 such that $U \subset C_\Phi$. It follows that C_Φ is a neighborhood of 0 in V, hence it is absorbing in V

$$V = \cup_n nC_\Phi = L_\Phi \qquad \text{(as sets)} \tag{9}$$

Since C_Φ is a convex body (that is, 0 is a topological interior point), its Minkowski functional $\|\cdot\|_\Phi$ is continuous with respect to the original norm ([7], p. 182). It follows that we have the continuous inclusion $V \sqsubseteq B_\Phi$. Further, since $\mathrm{dom}(\Phi)$ has non-empty interior, $(\mathrm{dom}(\Phi))^\perp = \{0\}$ and $\tilde{V} = V^*$. Clearly also $\bar{V} = V$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let* $0 \in \mathrm{int}\,\mathrm{dom}(\Phi)$. *Then* $V \sqsubseteq B_\Phi \subseteq B^*_{\Phi^*}$ *and* $L_{\Phi^*} = B_{\Phi^*} = B^*_\Phi \sqsubseteq V^*$.

Proof. By (9), each $x \in V$ is in L_Φ, and by continuity, $\|x\|_\Phi \le K\|x\|$, for some fixed $K > 0$. Let $v \in B^*_\Phi$, then

$$|v(x)| \le \|v\|^*_\Phi \|x\|_\Phi \le K\|v\|^*_\Phi \|x\| \quad \text{for} \quad x \in V$$

hence $v \in V^* = \tilde{V}$ and $\|v\|^* \le K\|v\|^*_\Phi$. The statement now follows from Proposition 3.1. □

4. The spaces B_φ and $B_{\varphi,0}$.

Let $\mathcal{M}_s$ be the real Banach subspace of self-adjoint elements in $\mathcal{M}$, then the dual $\mathcal{M}_s^*$ is the subspace of hermitian (not necessarily normal) functionals in $\mathcal{M}^*$. We define the functional $F_\varphi : \mathcal{M}_s^* \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ by

$$F_\varphi(\omega) = \begin{cases} S(\omega, \varphi) & \text{if } \omega \in \mathfrak{S}_* \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then F_φ is convex and lower semicontinuous, with $\mathrm{dom}(F_\varphi) = \mathcal{S}_\varphi$. It follows from (1) that F_φ is strictly convex. Its conjugate F^*_φ is

$$F^*_\varphi(h) = \sup_{\omega \in \mathfrak{S}_*} \{\omega(h) - F_\varphi(\omega)\} = c_\varphi(h), \quad h \in \mathcal{M}_s$$

Hence c_φ is convex and lower semicontinuous, in fact, since finite valued, it is continuous on $\mathcal{M}_s$. We have $c^*_\varphi = F^{**}_\varphi = F_\varphi$ on $\mathcal{M}^*_s$. Note also that

$$c_\varphi(h + \lambda) = c_\varphi(h) + \lambda, \qquad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \tag{10}$$

We define another convex and lower semicontinuous functional on $\mathcal{M}^*_s$, namely,

$$\bar{F}_\varphi(\omega) = \begin{cases} S(\omega, \varphi) - \omega(1) & \text{if } \omega \in \mathcal{M}^+_* \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then the conjugate functional is

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{F}^*_\varphi(h) &= \sup_{\omega \in \mathcal{M}^+_*} \{\omega(h) - S(\omega, \varphi) + \omega(1)\} = \sup_{\omega \in \mathfrak{S}_*, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+} \{\lambda\omega(h) - S(\lambda\omega, \varphi) + \lambda\} = \\ &= \sup_{\omega \in \mathfrak{S}_*, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+} \{\lambda(\omega(h) - S(\omega, \varphi)) - \lambda \log \lambda + \lambda\} = \\ &= \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+} \{\lambda(c_\varphi(h) + 1) - \lambda \log \lambda\} = e^{c_\varphi(h)} = \varphi^h(1) \end{aligned}$$

Again, $h \mapsto \varphi^h(1)$ is convex and continuous and $\bar{F}_\varphi^{**} = \bar{F}_\varphi$. Next, we define a Young function on $\mathcal{M}_s$.

Let $\Phi_\varphi : \mathcal{M}_s \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be defined by

$$\Phi_\varphi(h) = \frac{\varphi^h(1) + \varphi^{-h}(1)}{2} - 1$$

Lemma 4.1. *Φ_φ is a Young function.*

Proof. The property (i) from the definition of a Young function follows from the properties of $h \mapsto \varphi^h(1)$. Since $\varphi^h(1) = e^{c_\varphi(h)} \geq e^{\omega(h) - S(\omega,\varphi)}$ for all normal states ω, we have

$$\Phi_\varphi(h) \geq \cosh(\omega(h)) e^{-S(\omega,\varphi)} - 1 \tag{11}$$

In particular,

$$\Phi_\varphi(h) \geq \cosh(\varphi(h)) - 1 \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } \ h \tag{12}$$

Since obviously $\Phi_\varphi(0) = 0$, (ii) follows. Let now h be such that $\omega(h) = 0$ for all $\omega \in \mathcal{S}_\varphi$, then by definition, $c_\varphi(h) = 0$ and $\varphi = \varphi^h$, hence $h = 0$. Therefore if $h \neq 0$, then there is a state $\omega \in \mathcal{S}_\varphi$ such that $\omega(h) \neq 0$ and then $\lim_{t\to\infty} \cosh(t\omega(h)) = \infty$, this implies (iv). Property (iii) is obviously satisfied. $\square$

Let $C_\varphi := C_{\Phi_\varphi}$, $B_\varphi := B_{\Phi_\varphi}$ and $\|\cdot\|_\varphi := \|\cdot\|_{\Phi_\varphi}$. Since $\mathrm{dom}\Phi_\varphi = \mathcal{M}_s$, we have by Proposition 3.2 that $\mathcal{M}_s \sqsubseteq B_\varphi$. If Φ_φ^* is the conjugate of Φ_φ, then $B_\varphi^* = B_{\Phi_\varphi^*} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{M}_s^*$.

Let now $h \in \mathcal{M}_s$, such that $\|h\|_\varphi = t > 0$, that is,

$$\Phi_\varphi(\frac{h}{t}) = 1$$

If ω is a state, then by (11),

$$\cosh(\frac{\omega(h)}{t}) \leq 2e^{S(\omega,\varphi)} \tag{13}$$

If $\omega \in \mathcal{S}_\varphi$, then $|\omega(h)| \leq ct$, where $c > 0$ is some constant depending on $S(\omega,\varphi)$. It follows that each $\omega \in \mathcal{S}_\varphi$ extends to a continuous linear functional on B_φ. Moreover, for $C > 0$, $\mathcal{S}_C$ is an equicontinuous subset in B_φ^*.

Let $\mathcal{M}_{s,0} \subset \mathcal{M}_s$ be the subspace of elements satisfying $\varphi(h) = 0$. Then by putting $\omega = \varphi$ in (6), we get

$$c_\varphi(h) = S(\varphi, [\varphi^h]) \geq 0$$

Let us define

$$\Phi_{\varphi,0}(h) = \frac{c_\varphi(h) + c_\varphi(-h)}{2}, \quad h \in \mathcal{M}_{\varphi,0}$$

Then it is easy to check that $\Phi_{\varphi,0}$ is a Young function on $\mathcal{M}_{\varphi,0}$. We have

Lemma 4.2. *Let $h \in \mathcal{M}_{s,0}$. Then*

$$\Phi_{\varphi,0}(h) \leq \Phi_\varphi(h) \leq e^{2\Phi_{\varphi,0}} - 1$$

Proof. The first inequality follows from $a \leq e^a - 1$ for $a \geq 0$, the second follows from $x + y \leq 2xy$ for $x, y \geq 1$. $\square$

Let us construct the Banach space $B_{\Phi_{\varphi,0}} =: B_{\varphi,0}$ and let $\|\cdot\|_{\varphi,0} := \|\cdot\|_{\Phi_{\varphi,0}}$.

Proposition 4.1. *The norms* $\|\cdot\|_{\varphi,0}$ *and* $\|\cdot\|_{\varphi}$ *are equivalent on* $\mathcal{M}_{s,0}$.

Proof. Let us denote $C_{\varphi,0} := C_{\Phi_{\varphi,0}}$. We show that

$$\frac{1}{2}\log 2\, C_{\varphi,0} \subseteq C_{\varphi} \cap \mathcal{M}_{s,0} \subseteq C_{\varphi,0} \tag{14}$$

Let $h \in C_{\varphi,0}$ and $t = \frac{1}{2}\log 2$. Then by convexity, $\Phi_{\varphi,0}(th) \le t = \frac{1}{2}\log 2$ and hence

$$\Phi_{\varphi}(th) \le e^{2\Phi_{\varphi,0}(th)} - 1 \le 1,$$

which implies $tC_{\varphi,0} \subseteq C_{\varphi} \cap \mathcal{M}_{s,o}$. The other inclusion follows from the first inequality in Lemma 4.2. It follows from (14) that for $h \in \mathcal{M}_{s,0}$,

$$\|h\|_{\varphi,0} \le \|h\|_{\varphi} \le \frac{2}{\log 2}\|h\|_{\varphi,0}$$

□

Note that since $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_{\varphi}$, φ extends to a bounded linear functional on B_{φ}. Clearly, the completion of $\mathcal{M}_{s,0}$ under the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\varphi}$ is the Banach subspace $\{h \in B_{\varphi},\ \varphi(h) = 0\}$. It follows from the above Proposition that $B_{\varphi,0}$ can be identified with the subspace of centered elements in B_{φ}.

5. Extension of c_{φ}.

Since $\mathcal{S}_{\varphi} \subset B^*_{\varphi} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{M}^*_s$, the restriction of F_{φ} is a strictly convex lower semicontinuous functional on B^*_{φ}, with effective domain S_{φ}. Its conjugate F^*_{φ} is a lower semicontinuous extension of c_{φ} to B_{φ}, moreover, $F^{**}_{\varphi} = F_{\varphi}$. We show that this extension has again values in $\mathbb{R}$ and is continuous.

Lemma 5.1. *Let the sequence* $\{h_n\}_n \subset \mathcal{M}_s$ *be Cauchy in the norm* $\|\cdot\|_{\varphi}$. *Then the sequences* $\{c_{\varphi}(h_n)\}_n$ *and* $\{S([\varphi^{h_n}], \varphi)\}_n$ *are bounded.*

Proof. By (5), we have for all n

$$\varphi(h_n) \le c_{\varphi}(h_n)$$

Since $\varphi(h_n)$ converges, $c_{\varphi}(h_n)$ is bounded from below. Further, let n_0 be such that $\|h_n - h_{n_0}\|_{\varphi} < 1$ for all $n \ge n_0$, then

$$\omega(h_n) - S(\omega, \varphi) \le \omega(h_{n_0}) + c_{\varphi}(h_n - h_{n_0}) \le \|h_{n_0}\| + \log 2$$

for all such n and $\omega \in S_{\varphi}$. It follows that $\{c_{\varphi}(h_n)\}_n$ is bounded.

If $\{h_n\}_n$ is Cauchy, then the sequence $\{th_n\}_n$ is also Cauchy for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and there are constants A_t, B_t, such that

$$A_t \le c_{\varphi}(th_n) \le B_t, \qquad \forall n$$

On the other hand , we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}c_{\varphi}(th_n)|_{t=1} = [\varphi^{h_n}](h_n)$$

By convexity,

$$c_{\varphi}(th_n) \ge c_{\varphi}(h_n) + (t-1)\frac{d}{dt}c_{\varphi}(th_n)|_{t=1} \ge A_1 + (t-1)[\varphi^{h_n}](h_n)$$

For arbitrary fixed $t > 1$, we get

$$[\varphi^{h_n}](h_n) \leq \frac{B_t - A_1}{t-1}, \qquad \forall n$$

Boundedness of $S([\varphi^{h_n}], \varphi)$ now follows from

$$0 \leq S([\varphi^{h_n}], \varphi) = [\varphi^{h_n}](h_n) - c_\varphi(h_n).$$

□

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\{h_n\}_n$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{M}_s$, converging to some h in B_φ. Then*

$$\lim_n c_\varphi(h_n) = \sup_{\omega \in \mathcal{S}_\varphi} \{\omega(h) - S(\omega, \varphi)\} \tag{15}$$

and there is a unique state $\psi \in \mathcal{S}_\varphi$ such that the supremum is attained. The state ψ is faithful. Moreover, $\lim_n S([\varphi^{h_n}], \varphi) = S(\psi, \varphi)$, $\lim_n [\varphi^{h_n}(h_n)] = \psi(h)$ and $\lim_n S(\psi, [\varphi^{h_n}]) = 0$. In particular, $[\varphi^{h_n}]$ converges to ψ in norm.

The state ψ will be denoted by $[\varphi^h]$ and the limit $\lim_n c_\varphi(h_n) =: c_\varphi(h)$.

Proof. This proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 12.3. in [8].

By Lemma 5.1, there is some $C > 0$ such that $[\varphi^{h_n}] \in \mathcal{S}_{\varphi,C}$ for all n. The set $\mathcal{S}_{\varphi,C}$ is weakly relatively compact in $\mathfrak{S}_*$ and hence there is subsequence $[\varphi^{h_{n_k}}]$ converging weakly to a state $\psi \in \mathcal{S}_{\varphi,C}$. We will show that $[\varphi^{h_{n_k}}](h_{n_k})$ converges to $\psi(h)$.

Since $\mathcal{S}_C$ is an equicontinuous subset in B_φ^*, $\omega(h_n)$ converges to $\omega(h)$ uniformly for all $\omega \in \mathcal{S}_{\varphi,C}$. This implies

$$|[\varphi^{h_{n_k}}](h_{n_k}) - [\varphi^{h_{n_k}}](h)| < \varepsilon$$

for sufficiently large n_k. We further have

$$\begin{aligned} |[\varphi^{h_{n_k}}](h) - \psi(h)| &\leq |[\varphi^{h_{n_k}}](h) - [\varphi^{h_{n_k}}](h_m)| \\ &+ |[\varphi^{h_{n_k}}](h_m) - \psi(h_m)| + |\psi(h_m) - \psi(h)| < \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently large m and n_k. Putting both inequalities together, we get $[\varphi^{h_{n_k}}](h_{n_k}) \to \psi(h)$.

Let $\omega \in \mathcal{S}_\varphi$. By definition,

$$[\varphi^{h_{n_k}}](h_{n_k}) - S([\varphi^{h_{n_k}}], \varphi) = c_\varphi(h_{n_k}) \geq \omega(h_{n_k}) - S(\omega, \varphi)$$

By weak lower semicontinuity of the relative entropy, we get

$$\psi(h) - S(\psi, \varphi) \geq \limsup c_\varphi(h_{n_k}) \geq \omega(h) - S(\omega, \varphi) \tag{16}$$

and thus ψ is a maximizer of (15). On the other hand,

$$\psi(h_{n_k}) - S(\psi, \varphi) \leq [\varphi^{h_{n_k}}](h_{n_k}) - S([\varphi^{h_{n_k}}], \varphi) = c_\varphi(h_{n_k})$$

From this and (16), it follows that $\psi(h) - S(\psi, \varphi) = \lim c_\varphi(h_{n_k})$. It also follows that

$$\limsup S([\varphi^{h_{n_k}}], \varphi) \leq S(\psi, \varphi)$$

and this, together with lower semicontinuity implies that $S([\varphi^{h_{n_k}}], \varphi)$ converges to $S(\psi, \varphi)$.

To show that such ψ is unique, suppose that ψ' is another maximizer, then for $\psi_\lambda := \lambda\psi + (1-\lambda)\psi'$, $0 \le \lambda \le 1$, we have

$$\psi(h)-S(\psi,\varphi) \ge \psi_\lambda(h)-S(\psi_\lambda,\varphi) \ge \psi_\lambda(h)-\lambda S(\psi,\varphi)-(1-\lambda)S(\psi',\varphi) = \psi(h)-S(\psi,\varphi)$$

hence ψ_λ is a maximizer as well and, moreover,

$$S(\psi_\lambda,\varphi) = \lambda S(\psi,\varphi) + (1-\lambda)S(\psi',\varphi)$$

By strict convexity, his implies that $\psi = \psi'$. It also follows that the whole sequence $[\varphi^{h_n}]$ converges weakly to ψ.

Using (6), we have

$$S(\varphi,\psi) \le \liminf_n S(\varphi,[\varphi^{h_n}]) = \lim_n c_\varphi(h_n) - \varphi(h) < \infty$$

This implies that $\operatorname{supp}\varphi \le \operatorname{supp}\psi$ and ψ is faithful. Finally, by taking the limit in the equality,

$$\psi(h_n) - S(\psi,\varphi) = c_\varphi(h_n) - S(\psi,[\varphi^{h_n}])$$

we get $\lim_n S(\psi,[\varphi^{h_n}]) \to 0$. $\square$

Corollary 5.1. *Let h_n be a sequence in B_φ, then $h_n \to 0$ if and only if $c_\varphi(th_n) \to 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. Let h_n be such that $c_\varphi(th_n) = \log\varphi^{th_n}(1)$ converges to 0, then $\varphi^{th_n}(1)$ converges to 1, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, $\Phi_\varphi(\frac{h_n}{\varepsilon}) < 1$ for large enough n, that is, $\|h_n\|_\varphi \to 0$. The converse follows from Theorem 5.1. $\square$

In particular, if $h_n \in \mathcal{M}_s$ is a sequence converging strongly to h, then h_n converges to h in $\|\cdot\|_\varphi$, see [8].

6. The dual spaces.

The dual space $\mathcal{M}^*_{s,0}$ is obtained as the quotient space $\mathcal{M}^*_s/\{\varphi\}$. Each equivalence class in $\mathcal{M}^*_{s,0}$ can be identified with its unique element v satisfying $v(1) = 0$. By Proposition 3.2, we have $B^*_{\varphi,0} = B_{\Phi^*_{\varphi,0}} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{M}^*_{s,0}$. By Proposition 4.1, $B^*_{\varphi,0}$ is the same as $B^*_\varphi/\{\varphi\}$.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $\bar{c}_\varphi$ be the restriction of c_φ to $B_{\varphi,0}$. Then the conjugate functional is $\bar{c}^*_\varphi(v) = F_\varphi(v+\varphi)$.*

Proof. Let $v \in B^*_\varphi$, $v(1) = 0$. Then by (10),

$$\begin{aligned} F_\varphi(v+\varphi) &= \sup_{h\in B_\varphi}\{v(h)+\varphi(h)-c_\varphi(h)\} = \\ &= \sup_{h\in B_\varphi}\{v(h-\varphi(h)) - \bar{c}_\varphi(h-\varphi(h))\} = \bar{c}^*_\varphi(v). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Let V be a Banach space and V^* its dual. For any subset $D \subset V$, let D° be the polar of D in V^*, that is, $D^\circ = \{v \in V^*,\ v(h) \le 1,\ \forall h \in D\}$. We will need the following lemma.

Lemma 6.2. *Let $F : V \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a convex functional such that $F(0) = 0$ and let F^* be its conjugate. Let $D = \{x \in V,\ F(x) \le 1\}$ and $D^* = \{v \in V^*,\ F^*(v) \le 1\}$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{2}D^* \subseteq D^\circ \subseteq D^*$$

Proof. If $v \in D^*$, then $v(x) \le F(x) + F^*(v) \le 2$ for all $x \in D$ and therefore $\frac{1}{2}v \in D^\circ$. Let now $v \in D^\circ$, then

$$v(x) - 1 \le 0 \le F(x) \quad \text{for } x \in D$$

If $F(x) > 1$, then by continuity there is some $t \in (0, 1)$ such that $F(tx) = 1$. Since $tx \in D$, $v(tx) \le 1$, moreover, by convexity, $1 = F(tx) \le tF(x)$. Consequently,

$$v(x) - 1 \le \frac{1}{t} - 1 \le F(x)$$

It follows that $F^*(v) \le 1$ and $v \in D^*$. □

Let us denote $K_{\varphi,0} := \{h \in B_{\varphi,0},\ \Phi_{\varphi,0}(h) \le 1\}$. Then $K_{\varphi,0}$ is the closed unit ball in $B_{\varphi,0}$. Its polar $K^\circ_{\varphi,0}$ is the closed unit ball in $B^*_{\varphi,0}$.

Proposition 6.1. *Let v be an element in $K^\circ_{\varphi,0}$. Then there are states ω_1, ω_2, satisfying $S(\omega_1, \varphi) + S(\omega_2, \varphi) \le 1$, such that $v = \omega_1 - \omega_2$.*

Proof. Since $\bar{c}_\varphi$ is continuous on $B_{\varphi,0}$, the set $D := \{h \in B_{\varphi,0},\ \bar{c}_\varphi(h) \le 1\}$ is closed. Let us endow the dual pair $B_{\varphi,0}$ and $B^*_{\varphi,0}$ with the $\sigma(B_{\varphi,0}, B^*_{\varphi,0})$ and $\sigma(B^*_{\varphi,0}, B_{\varphi,0})$ topology, respectively. As D is convex, it is closed also in this weaker topology. The set $D \cap -D$ is absolutely convex and closed, moreover,

$$D \cap -D \subseteq K_{\varphi,0} \subseteq 2(D \cap -D), \tag{17}$$

as can be easily checked. Then

$$\frac{1}{2}(D \cap -D)^\circ \subseteq K^\circ_{\varphi,0} \subseteq (D \cap -D)^\circ$$

By [7], $(D \cap -D)^\circ$ is the closed convex cover of $D^\circ \cup -D^\circ$, which is the same as the closed absolutely convex cover of D°. Moreover, since D° is the polar of a neighborhood of 0, it is compact ([7]). Therefore its absolutely convex cover is also compact, hence closed. It follows that $(D \cap -D)^\circ$ is the absolutely convex cover of D°.

By Lemma 6.1 and 6.2,

$$\frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{S}_1 - \varphi) \subseteq D^\circ \subseteq \mathcal{S}_1 - \varphi$$

and this implies

$$\frac{1}{4}\text{abs conv}\,(\mathcal{S}_1 - \varphi) \subseteq K^\circ_{\varphi,0} \subseteq \text{abs conv}\,(\mathcal{S}_1 - \varphi) \tag{18}$$

Let now $v \in \text{abs conv}\,(\mathcal{S}_1 - \varphi)$, then there are elements $\varphi_1 \dots, \varphi_n \in \mathcal{S}_1$, and real numbers $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$, $\sum_n |\lambda_n| = 1$, such that $v = \sum_n \lambda_n(\varphi_n - \varphi)$. Let $m \le n$ be such that $\lambda_i > 0$ for $i \le m$ and $\lambda_i < 0$ for $i > m$. Then $v = \omega_1 - \omega_2$, with

$$\omega_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i\varphi_i + (1 - \lambda)\varphi, \quad \omega_2 = \sum_{i=m+1}^{n} |\lambda_i|\varphi_i + \lambda\varphi$$

where $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i$, moreover, $S(\omega_1,\varphi) \le \sum_i^m \lambda_i S(\varphi_i,\varphi) \le \lambda$, and similarly, $S(\omega_2,\varphi) \le 1-\lambda$. □

Theorem 6.1. (i) *$B^*_\varphi = \mathcal{P}_\varphi - \mathcal{P}_\varphi$ and $B^*_\varphi \cap \mathcal{M}^+_* = \mathcal{P}_\varphi$.*
(ii) *$B^*_{\varphi,0} = \cup_n n(\mathcal{S}_1 - \mathcal{S}_1)$.*

Proof. (i) Let $\omega \in B^*_\varphi$ and let $v = \omega - \omega(1)\varphi$. Then v can be seen as an element in $B^*_{\varphi,0}$. Let $\|v\|^*_{\varphi,0} = t$, then by Proposition 6.1, there are $\omega_1,\omega_2 \in \mathcal{S}_1$, such that $\frac{v}{t} = \omega_1 - \omega_2$, that is, $\omega = t\omega_1 + \omega(1)\varphi - t\omega_2$. Since $\omega_1,\omega_2,\varphi \in \mathcal{P}_\varphi$ and $\mathcal{P}_\varphi$ is a convex cone, it follows that $B^*_\varphi \subseteq \mathcal{P}_\varphi - \mathcal{P}_\varphi$. On the other hand, we have already shown that if $\omega \in \mathcal{S}_\varphi$, then $\omega \in B^*_\varphi$ and hence $\mathcal{P}_\varphi - \mathcal{P}_\varphi \subseteq B^*_\varphi$. Let $\omega \in B^*_\varphi \cap \mathcal{M}^+_*$, then we get $\omega + t\omega_2 = t\omega_1 + \omega(1)\varphi$. It follows that $\omega + t\omega_2 \in \mathcal{P}_\varphi$, and Donald's identity implies that ω must be in $\mathcal{P}_\varphi$.

(ii) By Proposition 6.1,

$$K^\circ_{\varphi,0} \subseteq (\mathcal{S}_1 - \mathcal{S}_1) \subseteq 4K^\circ_{\varphi,0}.$$

The equality now follows from the fact that the closed unit ball is absorbing in $B^*_{\varphi,0}$. □

In the rest of this section, we find an equivalent norm on $B^*_{\varphi,0}$.

We define a function $f:\ \mathfrak{S}_* \times \mathfrak{S}_* \to \mathbb{R}^+$ by

$$f(\omega_1,\omega_2) = S(\omega_1,\varphi) + S(\omega_2,\varphi).$$

Clearly, f is weakly lower semicontinuous and strictly convex. Further, let $v \in \mathfrak{S}_* - \mathfrak{S}_*$ and let $L_v = \{(\omega_1,\omega_2) \in \mathfrak{S}_* \times \mathfrak{S}_*,\ \omega_1 - \omega_2 = v\}$. Then L_v is a weakly closed subset in $\mathcal{M}_* \times \mathcal{M}_*$.

Lemma 6.3. *Let $v \in \mathcal{S}_\varphi - \mathcal{S}_\varphi$. Then the function f attains its minimum over L_v at a unique point $(v_+, v_-) \in L_v$.*

Proof. By assumptions, $v = \omega_1 - \omega_2$ for some $\omega_1,\omega_2 \in \mathcal{S}_\varphi$. Let $C > 0$ be such that $\omega_1,\omega_2 \in \mathcal{S}_C$, then the infimum is taken over the set $L_v \cap \mathcal{S}_C \times \mathcal{S}_C$. Since L_v is weakly closed and $\mathcal{S}_C$ is weakly compact, the intersection is weakly compact and f attains its minimum on it. Uniqueness follows by strict convexity of f. □

Let us now define the functional $\Psi_{\varphi,0}:\ \mathcal{M}^*_{s,0} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ by

$$\Psi_{\varphi,0}(v) = \begin{cases} f(v_+,v_-) & \text{if } v \in \mathcal{S}_\varphi - \mathcal{S}_\varphi \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 6.4. *$\Psi_{\varphi,0}$ is a Young function.*

Proof. It is easy to check that $\Psi_{\varphi,0}$ is convex, positive, $\Psi_{\varphi,0}(v) = \Psi_{\varphi,0}(-v)$ and that $\Psi_{\varphi,0}(v) = 0$ if and only if $v = 0$. We will show that $\Psi_{\varphi,0}$ is lower semicontinuous.

To do this, we have to prove that for any $C > 0$, the set of all v satisfying $\Psi_{\varphi,0}(v) \le C$ is closed. Let v_n be a sequence of elements in this set, converging to some v. Let $v_n = v_{n+} - v_{n-}$ be the corresponding decompositions, then $v_{n+}, v_{n-} \in \mathcal{S}_C$ for all n, hence there are elements v'_+ and v'_- in $\mathcal{S}_C$ and a subsequence $v_{n_k} = v_{n_k+} - v_{n_k-}$ such that $v_{n_k+} \to v'_+$ and $v_{n_k-} \to v'_-$ weakly. It follows that $v = v'_+ - v'_-$ and $\Psi_{\varphi,0}(v) \le S(v'_+,\varphi) + S(v'_-,\varphi) \le \liminf S(v_{n_k+},\varphi) + S(v_{n_k-},\varphi) \le C$.

Suppose that $v \neq 0$, then $\Psi_{\varphi,0}(v) > 0$. If $t > 1$, then by convexity, $t\Psi_{\varphi,0}(v) \leq \Psi_{\varphi,0}(tv)$, hence $\lim_{t\to\infty} \Psi_{\varphi,0}(tv) = \infty$. □

Let us find the corresponding Banach space. Note that

$$C_{\Psi_{\varphi,0}} = \{\omega_1 - \omega_2 \ :\ \omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathfrak{S}_*, S(\omega_1,\varphi) + S(\omega_2,\varphi) \leq 1\}.$$

By Proposition 6.1, this implies that $K^\circ_{\varphi,0} \subseteq C_{\Psi_{\varphi,0}} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_1 - \mathcal{S}_1$ and by Theorem 6.1 (ii), $B^*_{\varphi,0} \subseteq L_{\Psi_{\varphi,0}} \subseteq B^*_{\varphi,0}$.

Proposition 6.2. *$\|\cdot\|_{\Psi_{\varphi,0}}$ defines an equivalent norm in $B^*_{\varphi,0}$.*

Proof. Let $\Psi^*_{\varphi,0} : \mathcal{M}_s \to \mathbb{R}$ be the conjugate functional, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi^*_{\varphi,0}(h) &= \sup_{v\in\mathcal{M}^*_{s,0}} v(h) - \Psi_{\varphi,0}(v) = \\
&= \sup_{v\in\mathcal{S}_\varphi-\mathcal{S}_\varphi} \sup_{(\omega_1,\omega_2)\in L_v} \omega_1(h) - \omega_2(h) - f(\omega_1,\omega_2) = \\
&= \sup_{\omega_1,\omega_2\in\mathcal{S}_\varphi} \omega_1(h) - S(\omega_1,\varphi) + \omega_2(-h) - S(\omega_2,\varphi) = 2\Phi_{\varphi,0}(h)
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\Psi_{\varphi,0}(v) = \Psi^{**}_{\varphi,0}(v) = 2\Phi^*_{\varphi,0}(\frac{1}{2}v)$. Since the norms $\|\cdot\|^*_{\varphi,0}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\Phi^*_{\varphi,0}}$ are equivalent, this finishes the proof. □

7. The chain rule.

Proposition 7.1. *Let $h \in B_\varphi$, $k \in \mathcal{M}_s$. Then $[\varphi^{h+k}] = [[\varphi^h]^k]$, $c_\varphi(h+k) = c_{[\varphi^h]}(k) + c_\varphi(h)$ and for all normal states ω the equality*

$$\omega(k) - S(\omega,[\varphi^h]) = c_\varphi(h+k) - c_\varphi(h) - S(\omega,[\varphi^{h+k}]) \tag{19}$$

holds.

Proof. Let $h_n \in \mathcal{M}_s$ be such that $h_n \to h$ in B_φ. By the chain rule (7), we have $[\varphi^{h_n+k}] = [[\varphi^{h_n}]^k]$ and $c_\varphi(h_n+k) = c_{[\varphi^{h_n}]}(k) + c_\varphi(h_n)$. By Theorem 5.1, $c_\varphi(h_n) \to c_\varphi(h)$, $c_\varphi(h_n+k) \to c_\varphi(h+k)$ and $[\varphi^{h_n}] \to [\varphi^h]$, $[\varphi^{h_n+k}] \to [\varphi^{h+k}]$ strongly. Now we can proceed exactly as in the proof of Theorem 12.10 in [8] to obtain (19). By putting $\omega = [\varphi^{h+k}]$ in this equality, we get

$$[\varphi^{h+k}](k) + S([\varphi^{h+k}],[\varphi^h]) = c_\varphi(h+k) - c_\varphi(h) \geq \omega(k) - S(\omega,[\varphi^h]),$$

for all ω, which implies the statement of the proposition. □

Theorem 7.1. *Let $h \in B_\varphi$. Then $B_{[\varphi^h]} = B_\varphi$ and $\mathcal{S}_{[\varphi^h]} = \mathcal{S}_\varphi$.*

Proof. Let $k \in \mathcal{M}_s$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$. By Proposition 7.1,

$$c_{[\varphi^h]}(k) = c_\varphi(h+k) - c_\varphi(h).$$

Since c_φ is continuous on B_φ, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|c_\varphi(h+k) - c_\varphi(h)| < \log 2$$

if $\|k\|_\varphi < \delta$. It follows that $\|k\|_{[\varphi^h]} < \varepsilon$ whenever $\|k\|_\varphi < \delta\varepsilon$ and this implies $B_\varphi \sqsubseteq B_{[\varphi^h]}$. In particular, $h \in B_{[\varphi^h]}$.

Let h_n be a sequence converging to h in B_φ, then by (6)

$$\omega(h_n) - S(\omega,\varphi) = c_\varphi(h_n) - S(\omega,[\varphi^{h_n}])$$

By Theorem 5.1, and lower semicontinuity,

$$\omega(h) - S(\omega, \varphi) \leq c_\varphi(h) - S(\omega, [\varphi^h])$$

This implies $\mathcal{S}_\varphi \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{[\varphi^h]}$.

Further, h_n converges to h in $B_{[\varphi^h]}$ and by Theorem 5.1 and Proposition 7.1,

$$[[\varphi^h]^{-h}] = \lim_n [[\varphi^h]^{-h_n}] = \lim_n [\varphi^{h-h_n}] = \varphi.$$

By the first part of the proof, $B_{[\varphi^h]} = B_\varphi$ and $\mathcal{S}_\varphi = \mathcal{S}_{[\varphi^h]}$. ☐

Theorem 7.2. *Let $h, k \in B_\varphi$. Then the chain rule $c_\varphi(h+k) = c_{[\varphi^h]}(k) + c_\varphi(h)$, $[[\varphi^h]^k] = [\varphi^{h+k}]$ holds.*

Proof. Let $k_n \in \mathcal{M}_s$ be a sequence converging to k in $B_\varphi = B_{[\varphi^h]}$. Then

$$[[\varphi^h]^k] = \lim_n [[\varphi^h]^{k_n}] = \lim_n [\varphi^{h+k_n}] = [\varphi^{h+k}].$$

and by Proposition 7.1,

$$c_\varphi(h+k) = \lim_n c_{[\varphi^h]}(k_n) + c_\varphi(h) = c_{[\varphi^h]}(k) + c_\varphi(h)$$

☐

Corollary 7.1. *Let $h \in B_\varphi$ and let ω be a normal state. Then the equality*

$$\omega(h) - S(\omega, \varphi) = c_\varphi(h) - S(\omega, [\varphi^h])$$

holds.

Proof. By (6) and lower semicontinuity, we have

$$\omega(h) - S(\omega, \varphi) \leq c_\varphi(h) - S(\omega, [\varphi^h])$$

Since, by the chain rule, $\varphi = [[\varphi^h]^{-h}]$ and $c_{[\varphi^h]}(-h) = -c_\varphi(h)$, we also have

$$\omega(-h) - S(\omega, [\varphi^h]) \leq c_{[\varphi^h]}(-h) - S(\omega, \varphi) = -c_\varphi(h) - S(\omega, \varphi)$$

which implies the opposite inequality. ☐

Corollary 7.2. *Let $[\varphi^h] = [\varphi^k]$ for some $h, k \in B_\varphi$. Then $h - k = \varphi(h-k)$.*

Proof. Let us suppose that $h \in B_\varphi$ is such that $[\varphi^h] = \varphi$. Then $[\varphi^{nh}] = \varphi$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that $c_\varphi(nh) = n\varphi(h) = nc_\varphi(h)$ for all n and for $0 \leq t \leq 1$, we have by (5) and convexity of c_φ that

$$tc_\varphi(h) = \varphi(th) \leq c_\varphi(th) \leq tc_\varphi(h)$$

It follows that $c_\varphi(th) = tc_\varphi(h) = t\varphi(h)$ for all $t \geq 0$. Since also $[\varphi^{-h}] = [[\varphi^h]^{-h}] = \varphi$, we have $c_\varphi(-th) = tc_\varphi(-h) = -t\varphi(h)$ for $t \geq 0$.

It is easy to see that $c_\varphi(k - \lambda) = c_\varphi(k) - \lambda$ for all $k \in B_\varphi$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\lambda = \varphi(h)$, then it follows that

$$c_\varphi(t(h-\lambda)) = 0 = c_\varphi(t(-h+\lambda))$$

for all $t \geq 0$. This implies $\|h - \lambda\|_\varphi = 0$ and hence $h = \lambda$.

Let now $[\varphi^h] = [\varphi^k]$, then $[[\varphi^k]^{-h}] = [\varphi^{k-h}] = \varphi$ and $h - k = \lambda = \varphi(h-k)$. ☐

Note that the function $\bar{c}_\varphi : B_{\varphi,0} \to \mathbb{R}$ corresponds to the cumulant generating functional in the commutative case. Let us list some of its properties.

Theorem 7.3. *The function* $\bar{c}_\varphi$ *has the following properties.*

- (i) $\bar{c}_\varphi$ *is positive, strictly convex and continuous,* $\bar{c}_\varphi(0) = 0$.
- (ii) $\bar{c}_\varphi$ *is Gateaux differentiable, with* $\bar{c}'_\varphi(h) = [\varphi^h] - \varphi$
- (iii) *The map*
$$B_{\varphi,0} \ni h \mapsto [\varphi^h] - \varphi \in B^*_{\varphi,0}$$
is one-to-one and norm to $\sigma(B^*_{\varphi,0}, B_{\varphi,0})$*-continuous.*

Proof. (i) By Corollary 7.1, $\bar{c}_\varphi(h) = S(\varphi, [\varphi^h]) \geq 0$ and $\bar{c}_\varphi(0) = 0$ by definition. Let now $h, k \in B_{\varphi,0}$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$ be such that

$$\bar{c}_\varphi(\lambda h + (1-\lambda)k) = \lambda \bar{c}_\varphi(h) + (1-\lambda)\bar{c}_\varphi(k).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sup\nolimits_{\mathcal{S}_\varphi} \quad & \lambda(\omega(h) - S(\omega,\varphi)) + (1-\lambda)(\omega(k) - S(\omega,\varphi)) = \\ = \quad & \lambda \sup_{\mathcal{S}_\varphi}(\omega(h) - S(\omega,\varphi)) + (1-\lambda)\sup_{\mathcal{S}_\varphi}(\omega(k) - S(\omega,\varphi)) \end{aligned}$$

This implies that the maximum in both expressions on the right hand side is attained at the same point. Therefore $[\varphi^h] = [\varphi^k]$, hence $h - k = \varphi(h-k) = 0$.

(ii) By Theorem 5.1, $[\varphi^h] - \varphi$ is the unique element in $B^*_{\varphi,0}$, such that

$$([\varphi^h] - \varphi)(h) = \bar{c}_\varphi(h) + \bar{c}^*_\varphi([\varphi^h] - \varphi).$$

By [3], this implies that $\bar{c}_\varphi$ is Gateaux differentiable in h with derivative $\bar{c}'_\varphi(h) = [\varphi^h] - \varphi$.

(iii) Let $h_n \to h$ in B_φ, then $[\varphi^{h_n}]$ converges strongly to $[\varphi^h]$ and $S([\varphi^{h_n}], \varphi) \to S([\varphi^h], \varphi)$. It follows that $[\varphi^{h_n}](k) \to [\varphi^h](k)$ for each $k \in \mathcal{M}_s$ and moreover, the set $\{[\varphi^{h_n}], n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is equicontinuous in B^*_φ. This implies that $[\varphi^{h_n}](k) \to [\varphi^h](k)$ for all $k \in B_\varphi$. The map is one-to-one by Corollary 7.2.

□

8. A MANIFOLD STRUCTURE ON FAITHFUL STATES.

Recall that a C^p-atlas on a set X is a family of pairs $\{(U_i, e_i)\}$, such that

- (i) $U_i \subset X$ for all i and $\cup U_i = X$.
- (ii) For all i, e_i is a bijection of U_i onto an open subset $e_i(U_i)$ in some Banach space B_i, and for i, j, $e_i(U_i \cap U_j)$ is open in B_i.
- (iii) The map $e_j e_i^{-1} : \ e_i(U_i \cap U_j) \to e_j(U_i \cap U_j)$ is a C^p- isomorphism for all i, j.

Let $\mathcal{F}_*$ be the set of faithful normal states on $\mathcal{M}$. For $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_*$, let V_φ be the open unit ball in $B_{\varphi,0}$ and let $s_\varphi : V_\varphi \to \mathcal{F}_*$ be the map $h \mapsto [\varphi^h]$. By Corollary 7.2, s_φ is a bijection onto the set $s_\varphi(V_\varphi) =: U_\varphi \subset \mathcal{S}_\varphi$. Let e_φ be the restriction of s_φ^{-1} to U_φ. Then we have

Theorem 8.1. $\{(U_\varphi, e_\varphi), \varphi \in \mathcal{F}_*\}$ *is a* C^∞*-atlas on* $\mathcal{F}_*$.

Proof. The property (i) and the first part of (ii) of the definition of the C^p atlas are obviously satisfied. Let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{F}_*$ be such that $U_{\varphi_1} \cap U_{\varphi_2} \neq \emptyset$. We prove that $e_{\varphi_1}(U_{\varphi_1} \cap U_{\varphi_2})$ is open in $B_{\varphi_1,0}$.

Let $h_1 \in e_{\varphi_1}(U_{\varphi_1} \cap U_{\varphi_2})$. Then there is some $h_2 \in B_{\varphi_2,0}$, such that $[\varphi_1^{h_1}] = [\varphi_2^{h_2}]$. By Theorem 7.1, $B_{\varphi_1} = B_{[\varphi_1^{h_1}]} = B_{[\varphi_2^{h_2}]} = B_{\varphi_2}$ and by the chain rule, $\varphi_1 = [\varphi_2^k]$, where $k = h_2 - h_1 + \varphi_2(h_1) \in B_{\varphi_2,0}$. Clearly, the map $B_{\varphi_1,0} \to B_{\varphi_2,0}$, given by $h \mapsto h - \varphi_2(h)$ is continuous.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that $h_2 + h_2' \in V_{\varphi_2}$ whenever $\|h_2'\|_{\varphi_2} < \varepsilon$ and let us choose $\delta > 0$ such that $h_1 + h_1' \in V_{\varphi_1}$ and $\|h_1' - \varphi_2(h_1')\|_{\varphi_2} < \varepsilon$ for $\|h_1'\|_{\varphi_1} < \delta$. For such h_1', we have

$$s_{\varphi_1}(h_1 + h_1') = [\varphi_1^{h_1+h_1'}] = [\varphi_2^{k+h_1+h_1'-\varphi_2(h_1')}] = [\varphi_2^{h_2+h_1'-\varphi_2(h_1')}] \in U_{\varphi_1} \cap U_{\varphi_2}$$

This proves that $s_{\varphi_1}^{-1}(U_{\varphi_1} \cap U_{\varphi_2})$ is open in $B_{\varphi_1,0}$. It is also clear that the map

$$\begin{array}{rcl} s_{\varphi_2}^{-1} s_{\varphi_1} : s_{\varphi_1}^{-1}(U_{\varphi_1} \cap U_{\varphi_2}) & \to & s_{\varphi_2}^{-1}(U_{\varphi_1} \cap U_{\varphi_2}) \\ h & \mapsto & k + h - \varphi_2(h) \end{array}$$

is C^∞, which proves (iii). □

It is not difficult to see that for $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_*$, the set $\mathcal{F}_\varphi := \{[\varphi^h],\ h \in B_{\varphi,0}\}$ is a connected component of the manifold. Let us now define a family of mappings

$$U^{(e)}_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2} : B_{\varphi_1,0} \ni h \mapsto h - \varphi_2(h) \in B_{\varphi_2,0}, \quad \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{F}_\varphi$$

It is clear that this defines a parallel transport on the tangent bundle of $\mathcal{F}_\phi$ and the associated globally flat affine connection is the exponential connection, [4].

Let us recall that the dual connection is defined on the cotangent bundle $T^*\mathcal{F}_\varphi$ by means of the parallel transport $\{(U^{(e)}_{\varphi_2,\varphi_1})^*,\ \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{F}_\varphi\}$, where

$$\langle (U^{(e)}_{\varphi_2,\varphi_1})^* v, h\rangle = \langle v, U^{(e)}_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2} h\rangle, \quad v \in B^*_{\varphi_2,0}, h \in B_{\varphi_1,0},$$

and the duality is given by $\langle v, h\rangle = v(h)$. Since $v(h - \varphi_1(h)) = v(h)$ for all φ_1, the dual parallel transport is

$$U^{(m)}_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2} : B^*_{\varphi_1,0} \ni v \mapsto v \in B^*_{\varphi_2,0}, \quad \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{F}_\varphi$$

which corresponds to the mixture connection.

References

[1] S. Amari and H. Nagaoka, *Method of information geometry*, AMS monograph, Oxford University Press, 2000

[2] S. Amari, O.E. Barndorff-Nielsen, R.E. Kass, S.L. Lauritzen, C.R. Rao: *Differential geometry in statistical inference*, IMS Lecture notes-Monograph series 10, Mayward, 1987

[3] I, Ekeland, R. Temam, *Convex Analysis and Variational Problems*, North Holland, 1976.

[4] P.Gibilisco and G.Pistone, Connections on non-parametric statistical manifolds by Orlicz space geometry, Inf. Dim. Analysis, Quant. Prob. and Rel. Top.,1 (1998), 325–347

[5] M. R. Grasselli, Dual connections in nonparametric classical information geometry, to appear in the Annals of the Institute for Statistical Mathematics, 2005

[6] M. Graselli and R.F. Streater, The quantum information manifold for epsilon-bounded forms, Rep. Math. Phys., 46, 325-335, 2000. math-ph/9910031

[7] G. Köthe, *Topological vector spaces 1*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1983,

[8] M. Ohya and D. Petz, *Quantum Entropy and its use*, Springer, Heidelberg, 1993

[9] G. Pistone, C. Sempi, An infinite dimensional geometric structure on the space of all probability measures equivalent to a given one,Ann. Statist.,23 (1995),1543–1561

[10] R. F. Streater, The Information Manifold for Relatively Bounded Potentials, Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova, 228, 217-235, 2000.

[11] R.F. Streater, The analytic quantum information manifold, pp 603-611 In: *Stochastic Processes, Physics and Geometry: New Interplays,II*,(Leipzig 1999), Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI 2000, math-ph/9910036

[12] R. F. Streater, Quantum Orlicz spaces in information geometry, math-ph/0407046